

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/579,283	06/21/2006	Erich Harsch	F-9071	7101	
28107 IORDAN ANI	7590 04/23/2008 D HAMBURG LLP		EXAM	INER	
122 EAST 42ND STREET			UNDERWOOD, DONALD W		
SUITE 4000 NEW YORK.	NY 10168		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3652		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/23/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/579,283 HARSCH ET AL.

Office Action Summary	F	A =4 11=14				
,	Examiner	Art Unit	1			
	Donald Underwood	3652	l			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. L. Edensoins of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period in the communication of the commu	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 M	lay 2006.					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
 Since this application is in condition for alloward 	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to th	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 7-12 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7,8,9/7 and 10-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) 9/8 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicat	ion No				
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this Nationa	l Stage			
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
2) X Information Provides an Otto an antico (ETE (OF 1991)	5) Notice of Informal E	enterilees trates				

3) M information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 051506

6) Other: ____.

Application/Control Number: 10/579,283

Art Unit: 3652

DETAILED ACTION

The description is objected to as being improper. The reference to claim 1 in line 5 on page 1 should be deleted since the description should provide a basis for the claims and not vice versa.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

It is unclear how drives 36 and 37 are constructed and interrelated. It appears 36 may rotate 17 through 41-44 but it is unclear how 37 is connected to gears 41-43 and how 43 is connected to 18 to pivot 18. See the specification, page 8. Clarification is required.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 9/7 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/579,283

Art Unit: 3652

Regarding claim 9/7, "the racks" lack a clear antecedent basis rendering the claim indefinite. Note the racks are first set forth in claim 8. It appears claim 9/7 should depend from claim 8.

Regarding claim 10, while 112 sixth paragraph permits a means plus function format 112 second paragraph requires the elements be correlated to define an operative device. Thus the means in the instant claim should be positively correlated with the structure in claims 7 and 8.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action.

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7, 8, 10, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harsch, et al. in view of Corery, et al.

It would have been obvious to substitute a two piece pivoting arm for each x-y arm in Harsch in view of the teaching in Corery. Note these arms would be mounted on the uprights between the presss in Harsch in view of the offset mounting in Corery.

Regarding claim 8, whether one used two screw drives for each arm drive in Harsch as modified by Corery as used in Corery or racks and pinions for each arm drive similar to 10, 11 and 12 in Harsch would have been an obvious matter of design and/or choice since screw drives and rack and pinion drives are equivalents.

Regarding claims 10 and 11, note 26 in Harsch.

Regarding claim 12, 26 in Harsch also meets the second drive.

Application/Control Number: 10/579,283

Art Unit: 3652

Claim 9/8 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donald Underwood whose telephone number is 571-272-6933. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thursday 7:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saul Rodriguez can be reached on 571-272-7097. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Donald Underwood/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3652